



3 1761 11637356 4

COMPARISON AND ANALYSIS OF PROVINCIAL BUILDER AND RENOVATOR INDUSTRY PROGRAMS

INTRODUCTION

This research project examined and compared the current situation of the provincial builder and renovator training and certification programs in Canada and other countries

Most regions and provinces in Canada have developed training and certification programs for builders and renovators. Each region believes, to some degree, that it is unique and programs require only regional customization. It was not known how these programs compared to one another and to the National Occupational Analysis for New Home Builder and Residential Renovation Contractor (OA). There was a need to clearly identify the underlying rationale for why and how training programs, delivery, and management were developed and implemented.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

There were five objectives to the study:

1. To analyse existing programs and compare the individual elements of the required training courses using the tasks and subtasks of the National Occupational Analysis for New Home Builder and Residential Renovation Contractor as the reference point.
2. To identify training gaps in the awareness, knowledge acquisition and skill development elements of the courses through comparison to the awareness, knowledge acquisition and skill development elements of the Occupational Analysis.
3. To identify operational differences and commonalities of the training programs by comparing the delivery processes and management of the programs.
4. To compare programs in other countries with industry programs similar to the Canadian programs.
5. To propose a harmonized, pan-Canadian model for builders and renovators.

METHODOLOGY

The research involved seven steps:

1. Collecting course materials for analysis. The project team obtained course materials from Home Builders' Associations in British Columbia, Saskatchewan, Manitoba, Quebec and the Atlantic Provinces and from the Provincial Home Builders Institute of Alberta. The Ontario Home Builders' Association does not now offer builder-renovator courses. In addition, the National Renovators' Business Course was obtained.
2. Development of a standard course analysis matrix. The Occupational Analysis for New Home Builder and Residential Renovation Contractor (OA) is divided into five main content areas: business and operating plans, financial, human resources, marketing and sales, and project management. The sub-blocks, and their corresponding tasks, were then assigned to the appropriate content areas. This allowed for more efficient analysis of the courses, which were generally grouped into these five areas.
3. Analysis of course materials. Since the analyses were conducted using participant manuals, rather than instructor manuals, the accuracy of the evaluations in terms of the level of expertise provided, is uncertain. In addition, there was some difficulty in obtaining course materials because of proprietary concerns.



HOW THE ANALYSIS WAS DONE

Courses were distributed to members of the project team and systematically assessed against the OA matrix. This required a matching exercise, that is, identifying the topics in the OA, identifying the topics in the assigned courses and identifying where there was a match. The level of expertise presented on the matched topic in the OA by the course was assessed using the following guidelines:

- Awareness: introduction and reference to the topic
- Knowledge: in-depth or lengthy discussion about the topic referenced in the sub-task.
- Skill Development: inclusion of any or all of the following activities:
 - Case study analysis
 - Hands-on use of resource materials
 - Field trips with practical exercises, such as site visits
 - Take-home exercises
 - Worksheets
 - In-class activities, such as debates or role-playing, that capture and hold students' attention.

The results from each course were then transferred to a master matrix, which was organized according to the original OA. From this matrix, the gaps between the OA and the courses for a region were identified. Also identified were course elements that deal with items not in the OA.

One member of the team was responsible for providing interpretation throughout the review process. A general overview of all the course analyses was also conducted, gaps were identified and a re-analysis determined that nothing was overlooked.

4. Assembly and summary of program requirements and delivery process information. Information on regional training and certification programs was obtained from the Home Builders' Associations and from the Professional Home Builders Institute of Alberta. The researchers compared all the operational aspects of the programs. Tables summarized the information, which was then used to compare the regions. Information about programs in the United States was obtained from the National Association of Home Builders website, and information about programs in Australia was obtained from one of the research team members.

5. Development of a pan-Canadian model. Based on the course analyses and the program requirements and delivery process information, the researchers developed a pan-Canadian model.
6. Validation of the proposed, harmonized, pan-Canadian model in British Columbia through focus groups and key informant interviews under the direction of the CHBA-BC. There was a focus group discussion in Vancouver on March 26, 2004. Following the focus group, key informant interviews were held with builders and renovators from B.C.
7. Presentation of the results of the research to the National Education and Training Advisory Committee at its spring meeting in Ottawa, 2004.

COMPARISON OF BUILDER AND RENOVATOR TRAINING COURSES IN CANADA

The builder-renovator certification programs in Canada vary from region to region. However, in terms of required courses and criteria, there are common characteristics. In B.C., Alberta and Manitoba, there is separate certification for builders and renovators. This is not the case in the other regions. In all cases, except Quebec, where a license is required, certification is voluntary. In all regions, for a company to be certified, it must employ a least one individual who has met the requirements of certification. The number of years of experience required by an individual or business is five years in B.C., Alberta and Manitoba, and three in the Atlantic Provinces. No experience is required in Saskatchewan. The designation for all regions is only valid for one year.

In British Columbia, there are six mandatory courses for the Registered Housing Professional (RHP) program and five for the *Registered Renovation Professional* (RRP) program.

In Alberta, there are nine mandatory courses required for the *Accredited Master Builder*[®] program and five optional courses. For the *Accredited Master Renovator*[®] program, there are 10 required courses—in addition to the mandatory courses for the Master Builder, there is the National Renovators' Business Course.

In Saskatchewan, seven courses are required for the *Certified Professional Home Builder* program.

Manitoba requires six courses for the *Certified Housing Professional Diploma* program. Manitoba also has a *Certified Renovation Professional Diploma* program. For this designation, the National Renovators' Business Course is required.

Ontario does not have a training or certification program for builders and renovators.

In Quebec, builders and renovators are required to have a Contractors' license. *La Régie du bâtiment du Québec* approves all training, which is delivered through APCHQ (*L'Association provinciale des constructeurs d'habitations du Québec*). The Contractor Training Program consists of three courses, two of which contain a number of modules.

In the Atlantic Provinces, seven courses make up the Atlantic Housing Professional Studies program

There are five course areas common to each of the regions: Financial Management, Sales and Marketing, Construction Law, Building Code and Business Management.

In the United States, there are several designations offered by the National Association of Home Builders. For the purposes of this study, four designations are summarized: *Certified Graduate Associate (CGA)*, *Certified Graduate Builder (CGB)*, *Certified Graduate Remodeler (CGR)* and *Graduate Master Builder (GMB)*. In Australia, there are several states, each with different programs. For this report, the Registered Building Practitioners program in Victoria was chosen.

There are five content areas that can be identified in the National Occupational Analysis: Business and Operations Plan; Marketing and Sales; Financial Management; Human Resources; and Project Management. These five areas are consistent with the course content of the builder-renovator training programs in Canada, the United States and Australia, except for Human Resources, which is generally covered by some of the other courses.

The table on page 4 compares the regional courses. The majority of sub-blocks were totally covered by all of the regions in this study. Those sub-blocks covered to a lesser extent include: A4, Development of an Operating Plan; B1, Business Management; B2, Marketing and Sales; B5, Project Management; C2, Monitoring and Evaluation of the Marketing and Sales Plan; and C4, Monitoring and Evaluation of the Operating Plan.

PROPOSED PAN-CANADIAN MODEL

The proposed harmonized, pan-Canadian model is based on the National Occupational Analysis for the New Home Builder and Residential Renovation Contractor, with the addition of common provincial course elements that deal with skills either not currently listed or adequately referenced in the OA. Analyses of Canadian regional builder-renovator certification programs and

programs in the United States and Australia were used to develop this model. It will present a standard by which individual provincial (regional) training efforts can better align their courses to the National OA. The content is a base minimum; regions can go beyond as desired for their own needs.

The proposed harmonized pan-Canadian model includes:

- A definition of five content areas with all tasks contained in the National Occupational Analysis appropriately allocated to the content areas.
- An exam in each of the five content areas that would be used to measure the knowledge of those who are eligible to challenge the exams. (Note: the exam was not developed as part of this work but would form part of the pan-Canadian model)
- A Candidate Assessment Review (CAR) exam, which measures knowledge and experience in each of the five content areas and is used to determine the content areas in which candidates require training. CAR is a form of PLAR (Prior Learning and Assessment Recognition), which is a process of identifying, assessing and recognizing what a person knows and can do.
- The designations *Canadian Graduate Builder (CGB)* and *Canadian Graduate Renovator (CGR)* to be granted to those who successfully challenge the exams in each of the five content areas and have gained sufficient business experience.
- Suggested criteria for companies that wish to hire or employ people with CGB or CGR designations.

Validation of the proposed harmonized pan-Canadian model was achieved through a focus group and key informant interviews. As a result the model was evolved and then endorsed.

IMPLICATIONS FOR STAKEHOLDERS

A harmonized pan-Canadian model, based on the National Occupational Analysis, would establish a core level of tasks/skills required by the professional homebuilder. Builders and renovators would be able to compare their knowledge level (credentials) with that of other builders and renovators across Canada – on a fair and equitable basis. Regional/provincial programs would have the confidence that their designations are at least as good as they are in any other region/province of Canada.

Occupational analysis		B.C.		Alberta		Saskatchewan		Manitoba		Quebec		Atlantic	
Block	Sub-Block	% of tasks covered	% of subtasks covered	% of tasks covered	% of subtasks covered	% of tasks covered	% of subtasks covered	% of tasks covered	% of subtasks covered	% of tasks covered	% of subtasks covered	% of tasks covered	% of subtasks covered
A: Business planning	A1: Definition of business goals	0	0	100	100	100	53	100	100	100	100	100	100
	A2: Development of marketing & sales plans	100	100	100	100	60	28	100	100	100	70	100	100
	A3: Development of a financial plan	100	100	100	100	100	90	100	100	100	100	100	100
	A4: Development of an operating plan	50	52	100	100	63	62	100	100	75	81	63	67
	A5: Development of a human resource plan	100	100	100	100	100	92	100	100	100	100	100	100
B: Implementation of the business plan	B1: Business management	80	81	100	100	90	85	100	100	100	98	80	78
	B2: Marketing and sales	100	100	100	100	56	43	100	100	22	24	100	100
	B3: Financial management	63	65	100	100	88	83	100	100	100	100	100	100
	B4: Human resource management	50	24	100	100	100	79	100	100	100	100	100	100
	B5: Project management	94	90	100	100	76	77	94	95	76	82	94	95
	B6: Project supervision	100	97	100	100	100	73	100	97	100	100	100	97
	B7: Client relations	100	100	100	100	100	63	100	100	100	100	100	100
C: Monitoring and evaluation of the business plan	C1: Monitoring and evaluation of objectives	0	0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
	C2: Monitoring and evaluation of marketing and sales plans	100	100	100	100	29	19	100	100	14	10	100	100
	C3: Monitoring and evaluation of the financial plan	100	94	100	100	100	94	100	100	100	100	100	100
	C4: Monitoring and evaluation of the operating plan	0	0	100	100	50	47	100	100	100	100	100	95
	C5: Monitoring and evaluation of the human resources	67	73	100	100	100	82	100	100	100	100	100	100

CONCLUSION

The analysis indicated that the builder and renovator training courses in all of the Canadian regions in the study are similar and that they are generally aligned with the National Occupational Analysis.

The current operations of builder and renovator certification programs in Canada varies from region to region; however, in terms of courses and requirements, there are several common characteristics.

The following next steps were proposed by the researchers:

1. Begin the process to develop Canadian Graduate Builder or Canadian Graduate Renovator (CGB or CGR) exams and implement a Candidate Assessment Review (CAR) exam and process.
2. Recognize that the pan-Canadian model is not considered a national program but a common standard that can be voluntarily adopted by provincial organizations.
3. The Occupational Analysis for New Home Builder and Residential Renovation Contractor be reviewed against the CGB and CGR exams to identify gaps, that is, capture new content not included in the Occupational Analysis, and to clarify its scope and revise accordingly.
4. Develop courses suitable for use in all areas of Canada, for example, *Residential Construction Supervisor*.
5. Implement a process whereby an annual updating session could be developed on behalf of the regions and provinces.
6. Set up a discussion to determine whether community colleges should be given access to the CGB or CGR exam to support any certification programs that the colleges may decide to create and offer.
7. Set up a discussion regarding the possibility of receiving college credits towards a college diploma by means of the model program.
8. Set up a discussion regarding the possibility of including the competencies of this model program into a single, comprehensive, skills database for technicians and technologists.

CMHC Project Manager: Darrel R. Smith

Consultant: Sun Ridge Group

Housing Research at CMHC

Under Part IX of the *National Housing Act*, the Government of Canada provides funds to CMHC to conduct research into the social, economic and technical aspects of housing and related fields, and to undertake the publishing and distribution of the results of this research.

This fact sheet is one of a series intended to inform you of the nature and scope of CMHC's research.

To find more *Research Highlights* plus a wide variety of information products, visit our Web site at

www.cmhc.ca

or contact:

Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation
700 Montreal Road
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0P7

Phone: 1 800 668-2642

Fax: 1 800 245-9274

OUR WEB SITE ADDRESS: www.cmhc.ca

Although this information product reflects housing experts' current knowledge, it is provided for general information purposes only. Any reliance or action taken based on the information, materials and techniques described are the responsibility of the user. Readers are advised to consult appropriate professional resources to determine what is safe and suitable in their particular case. CMHC assumes no responsibility for any consequence arising from use of the information, materials and techniques described.